

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspoj.cov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/744,267	01/22/2001	Raphael Angeline Alfons Ceulemans	CM1882	5069
27752 7590 09(19/2008 THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY Global Legal Department - IP			EXAMINER	
			DELCOTTO, GREGORY R	
Sycamore Building - 4th Floor 299 East Sixth Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CINCINNATI, OH 45202			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/744,267 CEULEMANS ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Gregory R. Del Cotto 1796 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 June 2008. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.4-6 and 8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.4-6 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/fi.iall Date ______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 09/744,267 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 4-6, and 8 are pending. Applicant's arguments and amendments filed
1/28/08 have been entered.

Objections/Rejections Withdrawn

The following objections/rejections as set forth in the Office action mailed 3/18/08 have been withdrawn:

The rejection of claims 1, 4-6, and 8 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by WO98/12295 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filled under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filled in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting

Application/Control Number: 09/744,267

Art Unit: 1796

directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 09/744,267

Art Unit: 1796

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 4-6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by WO98/12295 in view of Klewsaat (US 4.888.119).

'295 teaches a composition comprising a dye fixing agent and a specific aminofunctional polymer, said composition providing an improved color care on fabrics upon laundry treatments. See Abstract. Suitable dye fixing agents are cationic and are based on various quaternized or otherwise cationically charged organic nitrogen compounds. These agents include Sandofix TPS, Rewin SRF, Rewin SRF, O, Rewin DWR, Tinofix FRD, etc., and they may be used in the compositions in amounts from 0.001% to 10% by weight. See page 5, lines 1-15. The amino functional polymers have the same general formula as recited by the instant claims. See page 6, line 10 to page 7, line 30. Also, single long chain alkyl cationic surfactants may be used which have the same general formula as recited by instant claim 2. See page 31, lines 10-35. Surfactant concentration aids may also be used in the composition which helps achieving the desired finished product viscosity as well as stabilising the finished product upon storage. Surfactant concentration aids are typically selected from single long chain alkyl cationic surfactants, nonionic alkoxylated surfactants, etc. See page 31, lines 1-10.

'295 does not teach the use of a polyoxyalkylene alkyl amine surface active agent or a composition containing a nitrogen containing dye fixing agent, a polyoxyalkylene alkyl amine surface active agent, a polyamino-functional polymer, and

Application/Control Number: 09/744,267

Art Unit: 1796

the other requisite components of the composition in the specific amounts as recited by the instant claims.

Klewsaat teaches an emulsion of cationic/anionic surfactant complex which is provided form addition to the wash water in the wash cycle operation of automatic washing machines, to make washed and dried laundry softer to the touch and static-free. See Abstract. Emuslfying agents may be used which are capable of emulsifying the cationic/anionic surfactant to produce a stable emulsion which will not settle out on storage, and in which the complex will not be adversely affected. Suitable emulsifying agents include ethoxylated higher amines having 12 to 18 carbon atoms in the alkyl group and 5 to 50 moles of ethylene oxide per mole. See column 4, lines 20-60.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use an alkyl ethoxylated amine in the composition taught by '295, with a reasonable expectation of success, because Klewsaat teaches the use of alkyl ethoxylated amines for providing a stable composition in a similar formulation and further, '295 teaches the use of alkoxylated nonionic surfactants as stabilizing agents in general.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to formulate a composition containing a nitrogen containing dye fixing agent, a polyoxyalkylene alkyl amine surface active agent, a polyamino-functional polymer, and the other requisite components of the composition in the specific amounts as recited by the instant claims, with a reasonable expectation of success and similar results with respect to other disclosed components, because the broad teachings of

Art Unit: 1796

'295 in combination with Klewkaat suggest a composition containing nitrogen containing dye fixing agent, a polyoxyalkylene alkyl amine surface active agent, a polyamino-functional polymer, and the other requisite components of the composition in the specific amounts as recited by the instant claims.

Response to Arguments

Note that, Applicant's arguments are moot since a new ground(s) of rejection has been made, as set forth above, which was necessitated by Applicant's amendment.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory R. Del Cotto whose telephone number is (571) Application/Control Number: 09/744,267 Page 7

Art Unit: 1796

272-1312. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gregory R. Del Cotto/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/G. R. D./ September 15, 2008